Uttar Pradesh Journal of Zoology

Volume 45, Issue 18, Page 498-513, 2024; Article no.UPJOZ.4005
ISSN: 0256-971X (P)

Marine Ecosystems and Pollution: Intersection of Zoology and Law in Environmental Sustainability

Sushil Dhakal [a++*] and Dr. Souvik Dhar [a++]

[a] Department of Law, Brainware University, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2024/v45i184467

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/4005

Review Article

Received: 09/07/2024
Accepted: 12/09/2024
Published: 16/09/2024

ABSTRACT

Marine Biowaste, resulting from natural biological processes or human activities, seriously threatens the environment. Sources and impacts of Marine bio waste are discussed, classifying into two broad categories: Organic and Inorganic. Organic biowastes include those from aquaculture, agricultural runoff, industrial discharges, and urban runoff, while inorganic Biowaste include plastics, microplastics, metals, and synthetic materials. Those sources present pollution, habitat destruction, and impacts on marine species, which together drastically close the ecological balances. The role of zoology in Biowaste degradation is important, because it is concerned with the study of organisms responsible for breaking down organic wastes to make nutrients available. Both National and International legislation, such as UNCLOS and MMPA in the United States, provide a legal framework for the protection of Marine ecosystems from pollution and overexploitation. Advances in Marine biotechnology offer novel solutions to problems related to bio

[++] *Assistant Professor;*
**Corresponding author: Email: suseel12345@gmail.com;*

Cite as: *Dhakal, Sushil, and Dr. Souvik Dhar. 2024. "Marine Ecosystems and Pollution: Intersection of Zoology and Law in Environmental Sustainability". UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY 45 (18):498-513. https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2024/v45i184467.*

waste management, such as algal Biofuels and integrated waste management systems. Synthetically, biology trends, adaptation of climate change, and models of the circular economy provide potential solutions for future research and sustainable practices. This paper gives reasons for full strategies of Biowaste management with a view towards the preservation of Marine ecosystems and assurance of Environmental sustainability.

Keywords: Marine biowaste; biowaste degradation; marine biotechnology; sustainable development; bio waste management.

1. INTRODUCTION

According to the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, signed by all members of the United Nations in 2015, there are 17 global Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Out of these, SDG 14 corresponds to "Life Below Water"; this is a milestone in the ocean governance arena [1]. This goal emphasizes not only the importance of our oceans and seas on a global scale but also enumerates major challenges to the Marine environment. It is primarily concerned with marine ecology and aquatic life. Broadly, the paper deals with the Marine environment, source types, impact of Marine Biowaste, the role of zoology in bio waste degradation, the legal and environmental framework, marine biotechnology, and management of Biowaste. The marine environment is such a vast and dynamic ecosystem that has been under severe threats from different sources of biowaste. These are waste materials of biological as well as non-biological origin [2]. Biowastes from the marine environment may be organic and inorganic, which come from natural biological processes and from human activities through aquaculture, agricultural runoff, industrial discharges, and urban runoff. Such proliferation of biowaste, particularly plastics and microplastics, has dire environmental repercussions regarding pollution, habitat destruction, and impacts on marine species. In this respect, the increase of plastics at sea is related to ingestion and entanglement, ultimately harming wildlife and disrupting ecosystems [3]. Heavy metals and synthetic materials further increase this imbalance, deteriorating the health of marine organisms and general biodiversity of aquatic systems. This contribution of zoology in biowaste degradation clarifies the role played by microorganisms, earthworms, and other species in decomposition of organic waste and recycling of nutrients for efficient biowaste management to mitigate environmental impacts [4]. It calls for sustainable practices and ecological balance.

National and international legislation provides a very important platform for the conservation of marine ecosystems. This constitutes legal instruments like UNCLOS, CBD, and MARPOL, which set legal standards regarding the preservation of marine systems and pollution control [5]. There is also wider coverage on marine life and habitats under national legislation, as in the United States' MMPA and Australia's Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act. Advancements in the area of marine biotechnology offer new ways of conducting the management of Biowaste, including Algal biofuels, waste-to-resource technologies, and integrated waste management systems [6]. Very promising lines of future research and sustainable practice lie in emerging trends in synthetic biology, climate change adaptation, and circular economy models seeking to protect marine ecosystems and promote environmental resilience.

2. MARINE BIOWASTE: SOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

2.1 Sources of Marine Biowaste

Marine biowaste, originating from both natural and anthropogenic sources, significantly impacts ocean ecosystems and the broader marine environment.

2.1.1 Natural sources

Marine Organisms: In the creation of Marine biowaste, involvement is made by the marine waste emanating from the dead marine organisms, including fish, crustaceans, seaweed, and plankton [7]. While breaking down, these organisms release organic matter into the ocean, which in turn feeds into the marine cycle of nutrients. Because of this, mollusk shells, algae, and plants are vital sources of raw materials for ceramics and biopolymers. However, such inert nature of the waste can be effectively utilized in containing this waste by their inclusion as particle fillers in ceramics and as fibrous reinforcement in biopolymers. This technique achieves sustainability through reduced consumption of raw materials [8].

Natural Events: Events such as hurricanes, tsunamis, ice storms have a tremendous consequence on the marine ecosystems since they result in vast amounts of marine biowastes. The natural disasters, including hurricanes and tsunamis, destroy plant life, displace sediments, and transport organic materials and other pollutants into the ocean, which results in forming marine biowaste. Earthquakes and landslides are strong impacts on coastal landscapes that result in the input of debris and organic materials into marine environments. Such events engender the accumulation of biowastes that further deteriorate water quality and affect marine life, hence responsible for coastal ecosystem degradation [9].

Biological Processes: Biological processes induced through all kinds of life cycles and activities in various sea organisms can result in the natural production of marine biowaste. Some of these include the shedding of shells, excretion, and the release of waste products like mucus, and faeces [10]. This has a significant effect on ecosystems, whether they are disposed of in landfills or discharged into the sea.

2.1.2 Human sources

Some of important human sources of marine biowaste are enumerated below:

Fishing Industry: It is estimated that 70% of the fish caught is processed before being marketed, which is thus associated with a huge quantity of waste, ranging from 20% to 80%. The parts of the fish wasted include various trimmings and wastes such as muscle, skin, fins, bones, heads, viscera, and scales. In large firms, fish processing is an integral part of reducing their costs and increasing the shelf life of the products. However, the quality of nutrition is a tough task to be maintained. Fish wastes can turn into high-quality dung applied on many plants [11].

Aquaculture: Aquaculture is the kind of farming that deals with the growing of aquatic animals, such as fish, mainly molluscs and crustaceans. This is an alternative to catching wild fish and aims at filling supplies that traditional catching failed to meet in regard to food and jobs. It creates employment but at the same time continues producing food and causing ecological problems due to organic matter and nutrient-rich waste released into the environment, thus polluting water. Up to 70% of the applied nutrients are not retained by the animals, and particulate fractions are formed. Particulate fractions, gas emissions and effluents, are form of emissions coming out from aquaculture activities [12].

Agricultural Runoff: Agricultural activities enhance the level of pollution in marine ecosystems, and industrialized agriculture is a key source of nitrogen and phosphorus pollution. Nitrogenous fertilizers are projected to either double or triple their consumption within the next 50 years. Intensification of agriculture all around the globe leads to more pervasive marine nitrogen pollution. Nutrient use efficiency is low worldwide, and over 80% of nitrogen and 25-75% of phosphorus that is consumed enters the environment [13].

Industrial Discharges: The oceans have been the dumping sites for industrial wastes including, chemicals, wastewater, garbage, and other land-based wastes. Major primary sources are industrial materials, personal care products, and cleaning additives. Microplastics play a very important role in medicine due to their involvement in drug transportation with polyethylene, polypropylene, and polystyrene as constituents. Secondary microplastics arise as a result of breaking through natural processes like weathering or even aging of large plastic debris. Common microplastics are pellets, beads, fibers, and powders [14].

Urban Runoff: Urban areas contribute to the environmental release of marine biowaste through wastewater and stormwater runoff. There are five parts in the urban biowaste program: i) biorefinery plant process dealing with food waste, fermenting, accumulating PHA, and then extracting Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA), ii) biorefinery produced residues, iii) PHA produced waste, iv) alternative scenarios for food waste, and v) sewage sludge [15].

2.2 Types of Biowaste

Biowaste, which can be broadly categorized into organic and inorganic types, varies significantly in its origin and disposal methods.

2.2.1 Organic biowaste

Organic biowaste encompasses any partially or wholly biodegradable material originating from living organisms. These wastes come into contact with marine ecology due to human and natural factors. Such materials include:

Food Waste: Biowaste refers to waste that is collected from different sources, including food and kitchen wastes emanated from homes, and small-sized green wastes [16]. According to the US Environmental Protection Agency, EPA, food waste is defined as discarded food from homes, industrial facilities, restaurants, grocery stores, during transportation and from institutional cafeterias. Even small parts of effluent can have a profound effect on increasing the pollution rate of water bodies. Poor waste management causes air, water, and soil pollution [17].

Animal Waste: Animal waste is composed of different elements, which may have either positive or negative effects as a result of handling, usage, and management. Animal wastes are rich in nitrogen and phosphorus, which have a devastating impact on water bodies due to resulting pollution. The complex process of wastes management controls the amount of emissions of pollutants. In the absence of sunlight and oxygen, algae and other aquatic plants start dying, and bacteria decompose this decaying matter. This process can become very hazardous for aquatic life, mostly fish, and might lead them to completely disappear from that habitat due to oxygen and sunshine deficiency [18].

2.2.2 Inorganic biowaste

Inorganic biowaste is that part of biowaste that cannot be decomposed and whose origin is nonbiological. Such materials comprise:

Plastics: Plastic represents more than 60% of all marine litter in a total of 18 categories [19]. It is the third most-produced material globally after cement and steel, consumed immensely because of excessively high production, making them seemingly indispensable in today's consumer market despite environmental concerns [20]. Plastic is part of the marine debris that is the major threat to organisms through entanglement, thus leading to extinction. The production and usage of plastics have led to considerable environmental repercussions. One of these, is their incorporation into geological systems and the formation of novel geological materials. Such a phenomenon challenges traditional geological concepts and necessitates a multidisciplinary approach encompassing geology, chemistry, and environmental science [21]. Also, plastic pollution may lead to the disappearance of species. The amount of plastics' pollutant in the ocean has risen resulting in consumption thus harming the wildlife. Examples of the affected are marine birds, mammals, turtles, fish and others that majorly eat plastic debris therefore leading to malnourishment [22].

Microplastics: Microplastics are small plastic fragments flowing everywhere, from air, water, and soil to plants and even Arctic and Antarctic waters. Industrial materials, personal care products, and medicine derived materials are the sources of microplastics. The contributory factors for their presence include weathering, litter, wind dispersal, soil erosion, and storm water runoff. A study had a prevalence of 96.67% of samples for microplastics, an indication of their prevalence within marine environments [23]. Higher concentrations were found during the rainy season in agreement with flow rates [23]. Microplastics can enter the marine ecosystems through indirect pathways from land while nanoplastics, which are formed as a result of the breakdown of microplastics, can enter into the cells, the bloodstream, and brain tissue of living organisms [14].

Metals: Heavy metals like cadmium, arsenic, lead, nickel, and copper have evolved into one of the severe global environmental issues in recent decades, mainly due to the enhanced anthropogenic and industrial activities [24]. These pollutants contaminate water, soil, and food and hence disturb biological functions, endocrine systems, and growth. Toxic metals can cause acute oxidative stress in aquatic animals. In addition, they can disturb the ecological balance of water bodies. Their presence in rivers is capable of reducing the diversity of marine life. Toxic metals induce histopathological alterations in fish, which finally result in cellular intoxication and death [25].

Synthetic materials: Synthetics like nylon, polyester, rayon, polyethylene terephthalate, polypropylene, acrylic or spandex are used to make microfibers that are less than 5 mm in diameter. These microscopic fibers are washed away by water from the land into the ocean, thus causing contamination. Contamination is more in urbanised water systems than in the rural areas. These harmful fibers cause health problems in marine animals: damaging the stomach, liver toxicity, gill obstruction, cardio-toxicity, bioaccumulation, degeneration of gonads, etc. Artificial microfibers can be found in various environmental settings and contaminate the food chain, having a possible impact on higher level animals including humans [26].

2.3 Environmental Consequences

The impacts on the environment from marine biowastes are huge, as discussed below:

Pollution: According to Sarwat (2024), biowastes from inland and marine environments are contributing much to water pollution, hence leading to "dead zones" in areas that have very low levels of oxygen. The same source is contributed to by overfishing, oil, plastic, and other forms of marine litter, and habitat breakdown. Climate change, inadequacy of legal measures, erosion of coastlines, and degradation of habitats are factors causing immense problems for the marine and coastal ecosystems. Land-based sources are also a major part of marine pollution because they throw out garbage and other waste into the sea every day. Offshore oil and gas reserves have been posing threats to the environment, causing destruction on a larger scale due to exploitation [27].

Habitat Destruction: Chatterjee (2017) provides that the marine ecosystems are threatened by diverse factors such as commercial exploitation, excavation, coral reef loss, and bottom trawling. While the physical settings of such delicate environments are readily responsive to change, an increasing number of aquatic species can disrupt the stability of these environments. Habitat destruction may cause a reduced level of ecosystem services, which in turn would lower the total services provided by coasts [28].

Species Impact: Marine trash, mostly made of plastic, is one of the giant environmental problems hurting marine ecosystems and endangering marine life. As far as size of the litter is concerned, 56.86% of litters are Macro and 47.88% is Micro [19]. The wastes have the ability to travel very long distances and come from a variety of sources, both on land and at sea. Since plastics began to be produced in the 1950s, the consumption of man-made wastes by marine turtles has been on the rise, particularly in oceanic leatherback turtles and green turtles. Entanglement is a major threat to marine fauna, causing loss of various species such as seabirds, turtles, whales, dolphins, dugongs, fish, crabs, and crocodiles that get injured [29] As per the data provided by litterbase, a total of 1256 were recorded by fisheries (plastic) (8.05%) among those affected by marine litter [19].

3. ROLE OF ZOOLOGY IN BIOWASTE DEGRADATION

Zoology in biowaste degradation involves the study of organisms responsible for the breakdown of organic wastes. It deals with microbial interaction chiefly about bacteria and fungi, together with their characteristic decomposition metabolic pathways [30]. Earthworms play a crucial role in the ecosystem by consuming waste and excreting nutrient-rich castings [4]. Biogas is produced from anaerobic digestion of animal waste like cow dung, which again acts as a form of renewable energy [31]. Such ecological roles are known to devise sustainable biowaste management systems that mimic the natural process.

3.1 Key Marine Species Involved in Biowaste Breakdown

A large number of marine organisms play an important role in the decomposition process of biowaste in aquatic environments. Notable among these are the following:

1. Marine bacteria play the fundamental role of breaking down organic matter into simpler forms for other organisms to easily process [32].
2. Another critical role is played by the marine fungi, particularly in degrading lignin and other compounds like cellulose, which are generally resistant to bacterial action [33].
3. Crustaceans, notably crabs and prawns, play a significant role in breaking down larger organic matter. They eat detritus and render it into smaller particles, providing better access to microorganisms [34].
4. Some fish also help in degrading biowastes by eating up the organic materials [35] and excreting wastes that are rich in nutrients [36], which the microorganisms could use.

3.2 Case Study: Bacteria and Its Ecological Roles

Exopolysaccharides (EPS) are a significant category of secondary metabolites generated by a wide range of microorganisms. These bioactive molecules offer a shield for the microbes against unfavourable environmental conditions. There are many types of EPS-producing bacteria with relevant ecological functions. They involve the following: Alteromonas, Bacillus and Geobacillus, Halomonas, Hyphomonas, Idiomarina, Pseudoalteromonas, Pseudomonas, Rhodococcus, Shewanella, and Vibrio [37].

According to Azam and Worden [38], "Bacteria are the major drivers of carbon cycling and the structuring of ocean ecosystems. Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus are Photosynthetic bacteria which are primary producers in the ocean and harbour information about ecology from within their genomes. Bacteria cause mortality that drives assimilation, release, and metabolism of dissolved organic matter, thus enhancing respiration in the upper ocean. New findings have revised the thinking around the oceanic silicon cycle, as it appears that bacterial colonization of the cell wall during death is responsible for silica dissolution."

3.3 Challenges in Natural Biowaste Degradation Processes

Marine ecosystems are struggling to address the problem of biowaste resulting from environmental issues posed by human endeavours and climate issues. Hazardous pollutants that include heavy metals, plastics as well as chemicals originating from agricultural drainages reduce microbial activity, thus promoting the accumulation of organic matter [39]. Climate change also affects the rate of acidification that has an effect on the metabolism of microorganisms involved in decomposition [40]. This makes the environment unfriendly to the natural decomposition of organic wastes hence slows the period and disrupts the natural ecological systems.

This degradation process is however compounded by other factors such as oxygen availability, existence of other species of organisms, and destruction of the habitat [41]. The emission of excessive nutrients to water bodies results in eutrophication that results in the formation of low oxygen concentration areas in the marine ecosystem which is a danger to aerobic bacteria [42]. They pose a threat to availability that naturally serve to break down biowaste; invasive species alter ecosystems and are more competitive than native ones. Sediments and other manifestations of human beings such as coastal expansion and dredging have worst effects on the estuarine areas such as mangroves and coral reefs where many organisms that aid in the decomposition of wastes originate [43]. Management of biowaste in marine environments is made worse by changes in environmental conditions that alter microbial dynamics, making the community composed of less diverse and functional forms.

4. MARINE ECOSYSTEM: RELEVANT INTERNATIONAL AND NATIONAL LAWS

It is seen from the above discussion, that Marine ecosystems play an essential role in biodiversity maintenance, regulation of climate, and aiding in human sustenance. Hence, marine ecosystems have to survive pollution, overfishing, climate change, and destruction of their habitats [44]. However, the national and international laws that are intended to protect and sustain the marine environment are quite complex in nature [45]. These legal frameworks are essential for setting standards and enforcing regulations that protect marine ecosystems from various threats.

4.1 International Laws

A) United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS): The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea is termed the "Constitution of the Oceans." [46]. It sets out the establishment of a legal regime to regulate every aspect of the ocean space. Adopted in 1982, UNCLOS identifies the entitlements and duties of nations with their use of the world's oceans, showcasing a fair and effective use of marine resources, protection of the marine environment, and preservation of marine biodiversity [46]. It sets a definition for territorial waters, EEZ, and continental shelf, outlining jurisdictional limits [46]. Besides, UNCLOS provides the principles of navigation, scientific research, and exploitation of marine resources, ensuring that marine activity is conducted in a sustainable and responsible manner [46].

B) Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD): The CBD is an international agreement of paramount importance for the conservation of biological diversity, the sustainable use of its components, and the fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from genetic resources. Adopted in 1992, the CBD recognizes the need for preserving varieties of life on earth by acknowledging that biodiversity is intrinsic to health and human well-being [47]. One of the programs the CBD focuses on is the Marine and

Coastal Biodiversity program, which addresses issues connected with the conservation and sustainable utilization of marine and coastal ecosystems [47]. It provides for the establishment of marine protected areas, restoration of degraded habitats, and the implementation of mitigation measures against the impacts from human activities on marine biodiversity [48].

C) International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL): The International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships is an extremely critical international treaty whose purpose is to minimize marine pollution from ships. MARPOL deals with the different sources of pollution: oil, chemicals, sewage, and garbage—through a comprehensive set of regulations [49]. First adopted in 1973 and then modified by the Protocol of 1978, the Convention requires that shipboard equipment and operational procedures for preventing accidental discharges and combating pollution be adopted [49]. By setting stringent standards for pollutant discharge and by providing incentives for the application of cleaner technologies, MARPOL plays an important role in protecting marine environments from the negative impacts of shipping activities [49].

D) Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES): CITES regulates international trade in endangered species to ensure that it will not threaten their survival. Adopted in 1973, CITES establishes a legal framework for the sustainable trade of wildlife and promotes the conservation of species threatened by overexploitation [50]. Through permit requirements for importing, exporting, or re-exporting listed species, CITES controls and monitors trade activities, hence making them legal, sustainable, and traceable. This kind of international cooperation is quite indispensable in safeguarding biodiversity and the survival of endangered species from international trade pressures [50].

4.2 National Laws

National laws vary by country but often reflect commitments to international agreements. USA, Australia, India is having laws for protection of Marine Ecosystem like follows:

A) The United States' Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA): It provides for protection to all marine mammals in U.S. waters against harassment, hunting, capturing, or killing [51]. The United States Marine Mammal Protection Act has been a very fine legislation enacted in 1972 for the protection of all marine mammals within U.S. waters, laying a complete ban on harassment, hunting, capturing, and killing of these animals—the huge commitment to conservation [51]. It recognizes the intrinsic values of marine mammals, considering their ecological, educational, and economic importance. The MMPA grants absolute protection under the Act to species such as whales, dolphins, seals, and manatees to ensure that their stocks remain at healthy levels. The NMFS and the FWS have primary responsibility for administering the MMPA [52]. These are part of the key activities they undertake in conservation related to marine mammals, including monitoring populations, enforcement of regulations, and coordination of response efforts for stranded or distressed animals.

B) Australia's Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act (EPBC Act): The EPBC Act in 1999 is regarded as the cornerstone of Australian environmental legislation, through which an effective legal framework is established for the protection and management of flora, fauna, ecological communities, and heritage places of national and international significance [53]. The Act is a principal tool to preserve Australia's unique biodiversity, involving threats to species and ecosystems through rigid control measures. It is focused on sustainable development that incorporates the principles of environment protection into decision-making. EPBC Act provides that activities likely to have significant impacts on protected matters need proper assessment and approval, thus ensuring that projects are religiously scanned for possible adverse impacts on the environment [54]. This law also enables cooperation internationally towards the conservation of biodiversity, in recognition of the fact that environmental problems are a global issue.

C) India's Coastal Regulation Zone (CRZ) Notification: It controls human and industrial activities to safeguard coastal ecosystems. India's Coastal Regulation Zone Notification is the 1991 initiative and its subsequent updating, designed to regulate the extent of human and industrial activities along the vast coastline of India, protecting its ecologically fragile coastal ecosystems [55]. This demarcates a variety of zones that are differentiated with respect to activities that can be carried out therein, by which the ecologically sensitive areas are preserved and others are open for sustainable development. The 1991 Notification tries to avoid the worst impacts of coastal development, pollution, and habitat destruction by restricting construction and industrial operations in such identified critical zones [55]. This will foster the conservation of coastal and marine biodiversity, including mangroves, coral reefs, and breeding grounds of marine life [56]. The Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change is the nodal agency for implementing the legislation of CRZ, ensuring that development along coastal areas is not in tune with environmental safeguards but is long-term sustainable [56].

5. THE ROLE OF ZOOLOGICAL RESEARCH IN SHAPING POLICY

Zoological research has major implications for informing and setting environmental policies focused on marine ecosystem sustainability. In this regard, researchers provide the scientific underpinning for understanding the complex dynamics of marine ecosystems and the impacts of human activities upon such systems [57]. Major contributions of zoological research include:

5.1 Biodiversity Assessments

This involves surveys and other studies with which zoologists are going to be involved in assessing their marine biodiversity, species distribution, population trends, and ecological interactions. It will thus help the process of setting priorities in conservation efforts and inform decisions [58].

5.2. Impact Studies

Research into the effects of contaminants, climate change, and other stressors on marine species and ecosystems provides evidence for regulatory interventions. For example, studies of impacts of plastic wastes on marine life led to policies aimed at reducing plastic wastes [59].

5.3 Restoration and Conservation Strategies

Restoration techniques with respect to coral reef rehabilitation and the re-introduction of endangered species are developed and tested. Mostly, such techniques get integrated into the conservation policies and management plans [57].

5.4 Monitoring and Evaluation

Continuous research ensures proper monitoring of the effectiveness of conservation measures and regulatory interventions. Changes in species populations and ecosystem health get recorded by Zoologists and represent a feedback base on how effective policy intervention is [58].

It provides data and insight to let policymakers design evidence-based regulations and management practices that can promote the sustainability of marine ecosystems.

6. CASE STUDIES OF EFFECTIVE REGULATORY INTERVENTIONS

Some of landmark examples of regulatory interventions for the protection of marine ecosystems are discussed below:

6.1 Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) in Australia

According Day and Dobbs [60], “The country of Australia has established an extensive network of MPAs to protect rich marine biodiversity. Among the largest MPAs in the world is the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park, considered to be among the finest models of a rather effective regulatory intervention. This Park is managed by the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority—GBRMPA—which has in place a zoning plan restricting some activities to protect sensitive habitats and species. The MPA has generally been very successful at reducing fishing pressure, protecting endangered species, and promoting tourism.”

6.2 Plastic Waste Regulation in the European Union

The European Union has adopted various directives relating to marine plastic pollution. Among these is the Single-Use Plastics Directive, adopted in 2019, which deals with the ten single-use plastic items most frequently found on European beaches [61]. It prohibits some plastic products, promotes their reusables, and requires the member states to reach a significant reduction in plastic waste. Preliminary evaluations show its positive effect on the reduction of marine plastic pollution [62].

6.3 Fisheries Management in New Zealand

According to McCormack [63], "Of impressive record in sustainability management of fisheries is New Zealand's Quota Management System. The QMS sets quotas for fishery stocks, on the basis of science assessments that estimate actual population levels for particular stocks. In this way, the system enables sustainable fishing while ensuring that fish populations are maintained at a healthy level. It is credited with preventing overfishing and ensuring the recovery of fish stocks."

6.4 Restoration of Mangrove Ecosystems in Indonesia

Extensive mangrove restorations in Indonesia have been done in an effort to combat erosion of coastlines, increase fishery productivity, and store carbon. This involves replanting of mangroves, inclusion of local communities, and protective legislation. Their successes have gained international attention and helped to boost resilience and biodiversity along the coasts [64].

6.5 Coral Reef Conservation in the Philippines

The Philippines has made one worthy attempt at implementing community-based marine protected areas to help protect its coral reefs. Involvement of local communities in management and enforcement functions of MPAs proved relatively effective in improving reef and fish-population conditions. It has reaped economic benefits through tourism and sustainable fishing [65].

7. ADVANCES IN MARINE BIOTECHNOLOGY AND BIOWASTE MANAGEMENT

In the recent past, there were revolutionary advances in biotechnology because of the need for sustainable solutions to pressing global problems such as climate change, pollution, and depletion of natural resources. One of the most interesting, currently observed developments in this field is the exploitation of marine organisms for new biotechnological applications [6]. One of the reasons marine environments afford biodiversity of astronomical proportions is as much a pool of genetic and biochemical resources that are being tapped with ever-growing frequency nowadays for a number of purposes.

7.1 Marine Organisms as Biochemical Sources

Marine organisms, from algae to deep-sea microbes, are able to synthesize a wide array of biochemicals having prospective applications in medicine, agriculture, and industry. For instance, marine algae are the source of bioactive compounds like polysaccharides that find application in drug delivery systems and tissue engineering. Besides extremophiles from hydrothermal vents give rise to enzymes of great utility during industrial processes since they are functional under extreme conditions [66].

7.2 Bioremediation of Marine Pollutants

Bioremediation is the second fastest-growing realm of marine biotechnology. In the near future, microbial and enzymatic solutions will be developed to clean up oil spills and other pollutants from the marine environment. For example, genetic manipulation of microorganisms to more easily degrade hydrocarbons will minimize the effects on the environment in case of an oil spill. Similarly, marine algae are being investigated for their potential to absorb heavy metals and other contaminants from polluted waters [67].

7.3 Sustainable Aquaculture

The advances made in marine biotechnology are changing aquaculture practices. Resistance to infection can be genetically made in fish strains through genetic engineering, thereby making aquaculture more sustainable. Added to this are marine-based feeds utilizing co-products from fish processing that reduce dependence on traditional land-based feeds [68].

8. MARINE BIOTECHNOLOGY AND BIO WASTE MANAGEMENT

Biowaste management is a critical area where marine biotechnology can make a significant impact. The ocean offers a promising solution to manage organic waste through several innovative approaches:

8.1 Algal Biofuels

Algal biofuels are one such new transformative use in waste management that makes organic wastes a very useful energy resource [69].

Conventional waste-to-energy technologies are generally subject to significant constraints of efficiency and environmental factors, as seen in anaerobic digestion and incineration, for example. Algae provide a sustainable option by using by-products of waste, like agricultural runoff or even municipal organic waste, as nutrient sources for their growth [69]. Advantages of Algae Biofuels are as follows [70]:

- Algae grow very fast and can yield significantly large amounts per unit area compared to land-based crops. This rapid biomass accumulation is very useful in scaling up production to meet energy demand.
- Land use by algae cultivation is less and can be grown on non-arable land or even in aquatic systems, avoiding competition with food crops.
- Algae can absorb carbon dioxide from the atmosphere or from industrial sources, thereby potentially mitigating greenhouse gases.

As far as recent advances are concerned, Genetic engineering has led to the creation of strains with improved lipid content or higher growth rates in algae [71]. This makes the use of algae for biofuels even more efficient. Also, advances in photobioreactors and culture systems provide better control over growing conditions, ensuring higher yields at reduced costs [72].

As far as the limitation is concerned, the cost of biofuels from algae remains high due to intrinsic growing, harvesting, and processing challenges [73]. Further research and investment are required to enable commercial-scale output. Other critical issues, like, Contamination, nutrient input optimization, and serious environmental concerns arising from large-scale algae farms mandatorily need attention.

8.2 Waste-to-Resource Technologies

Marine organisms have a very significant role in processing organic waste and offering useful by-products, thus solving both problems of waste management and resource recovery [74]. Marine microorganisms like bacteria, fungi, etc., have special enzymatic abilities that help degrade complex organic compounds of biowaste [32]. Advantages are as follows [75]:

- The marine bacteria and fungi can break down organic waste into simple compounds, which may then be converted to bioactive materials such as enzymes, antibiotics, or bioplastics.
- By-products from the degradation of waste can be processed to form bioplastics that are biodegradable and reduce dependence on petrochemical plastics, hence contributing to a circular economy.

As far as recent advances are concerned, Genomic and Metabolic Engineering, State-of-the-art microbial genomics, coupled with metabolic engineering allows tailoring of microbial strains with improved capabilities for processing waste [76]. Integrated waste-to-resource systems development couples microbial degradation with downstream processes in an integrated fashion to bring about the efficient conversion of waste into high-value products.

As far as the challenges are concerned, Organic waste was heterogeneous, hence complex to be degraded microbiologically, since specialized strains or consortia were necessary to treat the different constituents of wastes [77]. Moreover, the shift from laboratory to industrial scale brings along a number of challenges in regard to cost, efficiency, and consistency of the produced material.

8.3 Integrated Waste Management Systems

Marine biotechnology can be integrated into the traditional concept of waste management to increase efficiency and the overall sustainability of the entire system [78]. For example, an algal system can be integrated into already existing technologies such as wastewater treatment plants, realizing a number of benefits. Advantages are as follows [78]:

- Algal-based systems can enhance nutrient removal during the course of processing wastewater, consequently reducing nutrient discharge into water bodies and the resulting impact on the environment.
- Inbuilt systems can simultaneously address the treatment of wastes, the production of biofuel, and the generation of valuable by-products such as animal feed or fertilizers.

As far as recent developments are concerned, hybrid systems that couple the cultivation of algae with conventional wastewater treatment technologies in order to achieve optimized nutrient recovery and waste processing have been under development [79]. Moreover, process control and monitoring techniques are developed to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of integrated systems.

As far as challenges are concerned, any marine biotechnology would need to integrate with currently existing infrastructure, which should be designed and managed with due care to ensure new component compatibility and performance optimization. Moreover, the integration of new technologies into established practices of waste management has embedded complex issues of regulation and economics.

9. Emerging Trends and Future Research Directions

Trends and directions that clearly mark the future of research in marine biotechnology, biowaste management, and coupling zoology with technology and law are among many others.

9.1 Synthetic Biology

The huge potential of synthetic biology is going to play its part in developing or redeveloping the area of marine biotechnology [80]. Designing and constructing new biological parts, devices, and systems for creating novel organisms or engineering existing ones for a particular application can derive the purpose—moving beyond studying just the existing ones. This can work wonders in the field of biofuels, pollutant degradation, and new pharmaceuticals coming from the sea.

9.2 Climate Change Adaptation

The climate is changing, which has a bearing on sea ecosystems; hence, adaptation studies become increasingly relevant [81]. For example, research on the response of marine organisms to temperature change, acidification, and other stressors can be conducted [81]. In view of the climate-related challenges, resilience in aquaculture systems and bioremediation techniques will become important in achieving the sustainable management of marine resources.

9.3 Circular Economy Models

The concept of a circular economy, toward which the themes of recycling and resource efficiency are powering, gains ground in biowaste management [82]. Further future research is likely to remain focused on developing close-loop systems in which waste products keep being reused or repurposed to reduce the overall environmental footprint and foster sustainability [83].

10. Conclusion

Legal and environmental frameworks must be in place, both internationally and nationally, to safeguard marine ecosystems and environmental viability. Zoological research assumes critical importance when one considers bases upon which the policy underpinning such frameworks will be formulated, furnishing, as it will, scientific rationale for an understanding of marine biodiversity and the impacts of human activities. Most of those policies, if well-designed, could be very effective in their outcomes. Examples include case studies of successful regulatory interventions related to MPAs, plastic waste regulations, sustainable fisheries management, or even ecosystem restoration projects. Where marine biotechnology, biowaste management, and zoology meet technology and law, opportunities emerge that may challenge people to innovate and progress. These can be achieved by advancing these fields of study and encouraging interdisciplinarity, while subsequently creating sustainable solutions for some of the largest environmental concerns of the moment. The continued incorporation of scientific research into decision-making and protection regulation enforcement will sustain these critical, life-sustaining ecosystems into the future.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Haas B. Achieving SDG 14 in an equitable and just way. Int Environ Agreements. 2023;23(2): 199–205.

DOI: 10.1007/s10784-023-09603-z
2. Ricard M. Ecological principles and function of natural ecosystems. Intensive Programme on Education for sustainable development in Protected Areas. Amfissa, Greece; 2014.
3. Rangel-Buitrago N, González-Fernández D, Defeo O, Neal W, Galgani F. Rethinking plastic entrapment: Misconceptions and implications for ecosystem services in coastal habitats. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 2024;205:116665.
DOI: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2024.116665.
4. Bhat SA, Singh J, Vig AP. Earthworms as organic waste managers and biofertilizer producers. Waste Biomass Valor. 2018;9(7):1073–1086.
DOI: 10.1007/s12649-017-9899-8
5. Karim MS. Prevention of pollution of the marine environment from vessels: The potential and limits of the international maritime organisation. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2015. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-10608-3
6. Ghahari S, Ghahari S, Ghahari S, Nematzadeh GA, Sarma H. Environmental biotechnology: Toward a Sustainable Future, in Biotechnology for Sustainable Environment, S. J. Joshi, A. Deshmukh, and H. Sarma, Eds., Singapore: Springer Singapore. 2021;1–31.
DOI: 10.1007/978-981-16-1955-7_1
7. Rudovica V et al.. Valorization of marine waste: Use of industrial by-products and beach wrack towards the production of high added-value products. Front. Mar. Sci.. 2021;8:723333.
DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2021.723333
8. Santulli C, Fragassa C, Pavlovic A, Nikolic D. Use of sea waste to enhance sustainability in composite materials: A Review. JMSE. 2023;11(4):855. DOI: 10.3390/jmse11040855
9. Cooke SJ et al. Consequences of 'natural' disasters on aquatic life and habitats, Environ. Rev.. 2023;31(1):122–140.
DOI: 10.1139/er-2022-0050
10. Topić Popović N, Lorencin V, Strunjak-Perović I, Čož-Rakovac R. Shell waste management and utilization: Mitigating organic pollution and enhancing sustainability. Applied Sciences. 2023;13(1):623.
DOI: 10.3390/app13010623
11. Coppola D, Lauritno C, Palma Esposito F, Riccio G, Rizzo C, De Pascale D. Fish waste: From Problem to Valuable Resource. Marine Drugs. 2021;19(2):116.
DOI: 10.3390/md19020116.
12. Chiquito-Contreras RG et al.. Aquaculture—Production System and Waste Management for Agriculture Fertilization—A Review. Sustainability. 2022;14(12):7257.
DOI: 10.3390/su14127257
13. Krushelnytska O. Solving marine pollution: Successful models to reduce wastewater, agricultural runoff, and marine litter, World Bank Group, Washington, DC; 2018.
14. Dąbrowska J et al. Marine waste—sources, fate, risks, challenges and research needs. IJERPH. 2021;18(2):433.
DOI: 10.3390/ijerph18020433
15. Andreasi Bassi S, Boldrin A, Frenna G, Astrup TF. An environmental and economic assessment of bioplastic from urban biowaste. The example of polyhydroxyalkanoate. Bioresource Technology. 2021;327:124813, May,
DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2021.124813.
16. Rico De La Hera C. Special issue on biowaste treatment and valorization. Applied Sciences, 2022;12(21):11217.
DOI: 10.3390/app122111217
17. Bagawan AS, Nimbalagundi SM, Poleshi SN, Gurumath SV. Solid waste issue: Sources, composition, disposal and recycling. IJREI. 2021;05(06):414–422.
DOI: 10.36037/IJREI.2021.5611
18. Okpaga FO, Adeolu AI, Nwalo FN, Okpe AO, Ikpeama CC, Ogwu CE. Safeguarding ecosystems using innovative approaches to manage animal wastes. br, 2024;22(1):2274–2291. DOI: 10.4314/br.v22i1.6
19. LITTERBASE: Online Portal for Marine Litter. Accessed: Sep. 06, 2024. [Online]. Available:https://litterbase.awi.de/litter_graph
20. Rangel-Buitrago N, Neal W, Williams A. The Plasticene: Time and rocks. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 2022;185:114358.,
DOI: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2022.114358.
21. Rangel-Buitrago N, Galgani F, Neal WJ. The geological footprint of plastics. Science of The Total Environment. 2024:173693.
DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.173693.
22. Asuquo I. Plastic waste in the aquatic environment: impacts and management. ENVI. 2018;2(1):1.
DOI: 10.31058/j.envi.2018.21001

23. Tigreros-Benavides P, Garzón-Rodríguez L, Herrera-Villarraga G, Ochoa-Mogollón J, Sarmiento-Sánchez C, Rodríguez-Vargas LH, Rozo-Torres G, Guayán-Ruíz P, Sanjuan-Muñoz A, Franco-Herrera A. Microplastics and plastisphere at surface waters in the Southwestern Caribbean sea. Journal of Environmental Management. 2024;358:120745.
DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.120745.
24. Abd-Elhakim YM, Hashem MM, Abo-EL-Sooud K, Hassan BA, Elbohi KM, Al-Sagheer AA. Effects of co-exposure of nanoparticles and metals on different organisms: a review. Toxics. 2021;9(11): 284.
DOI: 10.3390/toxics9110284
25. Okereafor U, Makhatha M, Mekuto L, Uche-Okereafor N, Sebola T, Mavumengwana V. Toxic metal implications on agricultural soils, plants, animals, aquatic life and human health. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2020;17(7): 2204.
DOI: 10.3390/ijerph17072204.
26. Singh RP, Mishra S, Das AP. Synthetic microfibers: pollution toxicity and remediation. Chemosphere. 2020;257: 127199.
DOI:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.127199
27. Sarwat MS. Impacts and effects of pollution on marine and coastal resources. Uttar Pradesh Journal Of Zoology. 2024;45(16):403-9.
DOI: 10.56557/upjoz/2024/v45i164322
28. Chatterjee S. An analysis of threats to marine biodiversity and aquatic ecosystems. Available at SSRN 2964468; 2017.
DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.2964468
29. Wilcox C, Hardesty D. Understanding the effects of marine debris on wildlife: Year 2 progress report to Earthwatch Australia; 2013.
DOI: 10.4225/08/58D16A366783A
30. John KoilRaj A, Prabhavathi P, Rajendran R, Kuberan T, Shiyamala Gowri R, Perunkottur Selvi C. Degradation of organic wastes and recycling of nutrients enhanced by microbes in subterranean habitat. Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.. 2012; 6(21).
DOI: 10.5897/AJMR11.239
31. Obileke K, Nwokolo N, Makaka G, Mukumba P, Onyeaka H. Anaerobic digestion: Technology for biogas production as a source of renewable energy—A review. Energy & Environment. 2021;32(2):191–225.
DOI: 10.1177/0958305X20923117.
32. Haripriyaa M, Suthindhiran K. Waste management using marine microorganisms. Sustainable Environment. 2024;10(1):2324574.
DOI: 10.1080/27658511.2024.2324574.
33. Perkins AK, Rose AL, Grossart HP, Rojas-Jimenez K, Barroso Prescott SK, Oakes JM. Oxic and anoxic organic polymer degradation potential of endophytic fungi from the marine macroalga, Ecklonia radiata. Frontiers in Microbiology. 2021; 12:726138.
DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.726138
34. Qiu S, Zhou S, Tan Y, Feng J, Bai Y, He J, Cao H, Che Q, Guo J, Su Z. Biodegradation and prospect of polysaccharide from crustaceans. Marine Drugs. 2022;20(5):310.
DOI: 10.3390/md20050310.
35. Lakra WS, Krishnani KK. Circular bioeconomy for stress-resilient fisheries and aquaculture. InBiomass, biofuels, biochemicals. Elsevier. 2022;481-516.
DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-323-89855-3.00010-8.
36. Colt J, Schuur AM. Comparison of nutrient costs from fish feeds and inorganic fertilizers for aquaponics systems, Aquacultural Engineering. 2021;95: 102205.
DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaeng.2021.102205
37. Casillo A, Lanzetta R, Parrilli M, Corsaro MM. Exopolysaccharides from Marine and Marine Extremophilic Bacteria: Structures, Properties, Ecological Roles and Applications. Marine Drugs. 2018;16(2):69.
DOI: 10.3390/md16020069.
38. Azam F, Worden AZ. Microbes, molecules, and marine ecosystem. Science. 2004; 303(5664): 1622–1624.
DOI: 10.1126/science.1093892.
39. Oyetibo GO et al.. Biotechnological remedies for the estuarine environment polluted with heavy metals and persistent organic pollutants. International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation. 2017; 119:614–625, Apr.
DOI: 10.1016/j.ibiod.2016.10.005.
40. Hutchins DA, Fu F. Microorganisms and ocean global change. Nat Microbiol. 2017;2(6):17058.
DOI: 10.1038/nmicrobiol.2017.58
41. Altieri AH, Diaz RJ. Dead zones: Oxygen Depletion in Coastal Ecosystems. in World

Seas: An Environmental Evaluation, Elsevier. 2019;453–473.
DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-805052-1.00021-8

42. Guo D, Wang Y, Zhang Y, Duan J, Guan F, Hou B. Effects of marine eutrophication environment on microbial corrosion: A review. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 2024;205:116637.
DOI: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2024.116637

43. Elliott M, Day JW, Ramachandran R, Wolanski E. A Synthesis: What is the future for coasts, estuaries, deltas and other transitional habitats in 2050 and beyond?. in Coasts and Estuaries, Elsevier. 2019;1–28.
DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-814003-1.00001-0

44. Prakash S. Impact of Climate change on Aquatic Ecosystem and its Biodiversity: An overview. International Journal of Biological Innovations. 2021;3(2).1.
DOI: 10.46505/IJBI.2021.3210.

45. Winther J-G et al.. Integrated ocean management for a sustainable ocean economy. Nat Ecol Evol.. 2020;4(11):1451–1458.
DOI: 10.1038/s41559-020-1259-6

46. Siig K, Feldtmann B, Billing FMW. The united nations convention on the law of the sea: A system of regulation, 1st ed. London: Routledge; 2023.
DOI: 10.4324/9781003131182

47. Ekardt F, Günther P, Hagemann K, Garske B, Heyl K, Weyland R. Legally binding and ambitious biodiversity protection under the CBD, the global biodiversity framework, and human rights law. Environ Sci Eur.. 2023;35(1):80.
DOI: 10.1186/s12302-023-00786-5

48. Widayanti TF, Syarif LM, Aswan M, Hakim MZ, Djafart EM, Ratnawati. Implementation of Biodiversity Conventions in Protecting and Conserving Indonesia’s Marine Environment. IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.. 2022;1118(1):012063.
DOI: 10.1088/1755-1315/1118/1/012063

49. Fitzmaurice M. The International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL), in Research Handbook on Ocean Governance Law, Borg S, Attard FG, Vella De Fremeaux PM. Eds., Edward Elgar Publishing. 2023;91–108.
DOI: 10.4337/9781839107696.00019

50. Sajeva M, Carimi F, McGough N. The convention on international trade in endangered species of wild fauna and flora (CITES) and its role in conservation of cacti and other succulent plants. Functional Ecosystems and Communities. 2007;1(2):80–85,.

51. Scheffer VB. The United States marine mammal protection Act, 1972, Polar Record. 1974;17(106): 52–53.
DOI: 10.1017/S0032247400031399

52. Lecky JH. Programmatic environmental assessment for species recovery and species of concern grant programs, United States. National Marine Fisheries Service, Office of Protected Resources; 2011 [Online].
Available:https://repository.library.noaa.gov/view/noaa/4137

53. Dales JT. Death by a thousand cuts: incorporating cumulative effects in Australia’s Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act, Pac. Rim L. & Pol’y J.. 2011;20:149.

54. Dickman CR, Lindenmayer DB. Australia’s natural environment: A warning for the world. in Sustainability and the New Economics, S. J. Williams and R. Taylor, Eds., Cham: Springer International Publishing. 2022:33–49.
DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-78795-0_3.

55. Panigrahi JK, Mohanty PK. Effectiveness of the Indian coastal regulation zones provisions for coastal zone management and its evaluation using SWOT analysis. Ocean & Coastal Management. 2012;65:34–50.
DOI: 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2012.04.023.

56. Sakthivel M, Khan N. Protection of the Indian Coastal Ecosystem Through Coastal Regulation Zone (CRZ) Notifications*: An Analysis. in The Routledge Companion to Indian Ethics, 1st ed., Routledge India, pp. 211–222.

57. Williams R. Establishing general principles from little truths: lessons from marine mammal research. Journal of Zoology. 2011;283(1):1–2.
DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-7998.2010.00768.x

58. Miloslavich P et al.. Extent of assessment of marine biological diversity, First Global Marine Assessment (World Ocean Assessment); 2016.

59. Reichle I. Plastic ocean: Art and science responses to marine pollution. Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co KG; 2021.

60. Day JC, Dobbs K. Effective governance of a large and complex cross-jurisdictional marine protected area: Australia’s Great

Barrier Reef. Marine Policy. 2013;41:14–24
DOI: 10.1016/j.marpol.2012.12.020

61. Charitou A, Naasan R, Aga-Spyridopoulou, Mylona Z, Beck R, McLellan F, Addamo AM. Investigating the knowledge and attitude of the Greek public towards marine plastic pollution and the EU Single-Use Plastics Directive, Marine Pollution Bulletin. 2021;166:112182.
DOI: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2021.112182
62. Kiessling T et al. What potential does the EU Single-Use Plastics Directive have for reducing plastic pollution at coastlines and riversides? An evaluation based on citizen science data," Waste Management, vol. 164, pp. 106–118, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.wasman.2023.03.042.
63. McCormack F. Sustainability in New Zealand's quota management system: A convenient story, Marine Policy. 2017;80:35–46.
DOI: 10.1016/j.marpol.2016.06.022.
64. Arifanti VB, Kauffman JB, Subarno, Ilman M, Tosiani A, Novita N. Contributions of mangrove conservation and restoration to climate change mitigation in Indonesia. Global Change Biology. 2022;28(15):4523–4538.
DOI: 10.1111/gcb.16216
65. Muallil RN et al.. Effectiveness of small locally-managed marine protected areas for coral reef fisheries management in the Philippines. Ocean & Coastal Management. 2019;179:104831
DOI: 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2019.104831
66. Homaei A, Ghanbarzadeh M, Monsef F. Biochemical features and kinetic properties of α-amylases from marine organisms, International Journal of Biological Macromolecules. 2016;83:306–314.
DOI: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2015.11.080
67. Aliko V, Multisanti CR, Turani B, Faggio C. Get Rid of Marine Pollution: Bioremediation an Innovative, Attractive, and Successful Cleaning Strategy. Sustainability. 2022;14(18):11784.
DOI: 10.3390/su141811784
68. Boyd CE et al. Achieving sustainable aquaculture: Historical and current perspectives and future needs and challenges. J World Aquaculture Soc. 2020; 51(3):578–633.
DOI: 10.1111/jwas.12714
69. Stephen JL, Periyasamy B. Innovative developments in biofuels production from organic waste materials: A review. Fuel. 2018;214:623–633.
DOI: 10.1016/j.fuel.2017.11.042.
70. Datta A, Hossain A, Roy S. An Overview on Biofuels and Their Advantages and Disadvantages. Asian J. Chem.. 2019;31(8):1851–1858.
DOI: 10.14233/ajchem.2019.22098
71. Muñoz CF et al.. Genetic engineering of microalgae for enhanced lipid production. Biotechnology Advances. 2021;52:107836.
DOI: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2021.107836
72. Peter AP et al. Continuous cultivation of microalgae in photobioreactors as a source of renewable energy: Current status and future challenges. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2022;154:111852.
DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2021.111852.
73. Kim JY et al.. Biodiesel from microalgae: Recent progress and key challenges. Progress in Energy and Combustion Science. 2022;93:101020.
DOI: 10.1016/j.pecs.2022.101020
74. Caruso G, Floris R, Serangeli C, Di Paola L. Fishery wastes as a yet undiscovered treasure from the sea: Biomolecules sources, extraction methods and valorization. Marine Drugs. 2020;18(12):622.
DOI: 10.3390/md18120622
75. Pal AK et al.. The role of microorganism in bioremediation for sustainable environment management," in Bioremediation of Pollutants, Elsevier. 2020;227–249.
DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-819025-8.00010-7
76. Son J et al.. Customized valorization of waste streams by Pseudomonas putida: State-of-the-art, challenges, and future trends. Bioresource Technology. 2023; 371:128607.
DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2023.128607
77. Patel AK, Singhania RR, Albarico FPJB, Pandey A, Chen C-W, Dong C-D. Organic wastes bioremediation and its changing prospects, Science of The Total Environment. 2022;824:153889.
DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153889
78. Yong JJJY, Chew KW, Khoo KS, Show PL, Chang J-S. Prospects and development of algal-bacterial biotechnology in environmental management and protection. Biotechnology Advances. 2021; 47:107684.
DOI: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2020.107684.

79. Jadhav DA et al.. Paradigm shift in Nutrient-Energy-Water centered sustainable wastewater treatment system through synergy of bioelectrochemical system and anaerobic digestion, Bioresource Technology. 2024; 396:130404
DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2024.130404
80. Francocci F, Trincardi F, Barbanti A, Zacchini M, Sprovieri M. Linking bioeconomy to redevelopment in contaminated sites: Potentials and enabling factors. Front. Environ. Sci.. 2020;8:144.
DOI: 10.3389/fenvs.2020.00144
81. Kumar V, Sarma VV, Thambugala KM, Huang J-J, Li X-Y, Hao G-F, Ecology and evolution of marine fungi with their adaptation to climate change. Front. Microbiol.. 2021;12:719000.
DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.719000
82. Adami L, Schiavon M. From circular economy to circular ecology: A review on the solution of environmental problems through circular waste management approaches, Sustainability. 2021;13(2): 925.
DOI: 10.3390/su13020925
83. Mahmoum Gonbadi A, Genovese A, Sgalambro A. Closed-loop supply chain design for the transition towards a circular economy: A systematic literature review of methods, applications and current gaps, Journal of Cleaner Production. 2021;323:129101.
DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.129101

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/4005